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***Biorepository and Data Registry Full Application Review***

**Reviewer Name:**

**Review completion date:**

**Review criteria:** We have adapted the NIH review criteria and are now using the NIH scoring system (see attached scoring guidance document) in the peer-review process of SICCA research applications. Please provide comments and a score (using the attached NIH scoring guidance) for each of the rubrics below, and an overall impact score.

We truly appreciate and value your review of this SICCA proposal.

**Significance; Score:***Does the project address an important problem or a critical barrier to progress in the field? If the aims of the project are achieved, how will scientific knowledge, technical capability, and/or clinical practice be improved? How will successful completion of the aims change the concepts, methods, technologies, treatments, services, or preventative interventions that drive this field?*

*-*

**Investigator(s*)*; Score:** *Are the principal investigators and collaborators well suited to the project? Have they demonstrated an ongoing record of accomplishments that have advanced their field(s)? If the project will require extensive statistical analyses, is a statistician included in the team?*

*-*

**Innovation; Score:***Does the application challenge and seek to shift current research or clinical practice paradigms by utilizing novel theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions? Are the concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions novel to one field of research or novel in a broad sense?*

*-*

**Approach; Score:***Are the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the specific aims of the project? Is there the sample size appropriately justified? For studies generating new laboratory data, are QA/QC procedures specified?*

*-*

**Overall Impact; Score:**

*The likelihood for the proposed project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on research field(s) involved*

*-*